## COURT-I

## Before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

## <u>IA-160 of 2014 in</u> DFR No. 897 of 2014

**Dated** : 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2014

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam, Chairperson

Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Nath, Technical Member

Energy Watchdog & Anr. .... Appellant(s)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory

Commission & Ors. .... Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Anil Kumar, Secretary

Counsel for the Respondent(s): Mr. Amit Kapur

Mr. Apoorva Misra

MR. Abhishek Munot for R.2

<u>IA-161 of 2014 in</u> <u>DFR No. 905 of 2014</u>

Energy Watchdog & Anr. .... Appellant(s)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory

Commission & Ors. .... Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Anil Kumar, Secretary

Counsel for the Respondent(s): Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan

Mr. Raunak Jain for R-2

2

**ORDER** 

IA no.160 of 2014 and IA no. 161 of 2014

(Applications for leave)

It is represented that the Applicants being consumers have given

representation to the State Commission but the same have not been

taken into consideration in the impugned order and, therefore, these

Appeals have been filed by the consumers.

We have heard the Learned Counsel for the Respondents who has

filed reply. In view of the fact that the Appellants claim that the

Association consists of consumers of the distribution licensee, we deem

it fit to allow the Applications for leave to file the Appeal in both the

matters. Accordingly ordered.

Since the first Applicant/Appellant is the Association

representing all the consumers, 2nd Appellant need not be made as a

party. Hence, leave to file the Appeal is allowed in respect of 1st

Applicant. Registry is directed to number the Appeal and post for

Admission on **27.5.2014** 

(Rakesh Nath)
Technical Member

(Justice M. Karpaga Vinayagam)
Chairperson

mk/kt